

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

Mr. Brian G. Svoboda, Esq. Mr. Graham M. Wilson, Esq. Perkins Coie 700 Thirteenth Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, DC 20005-3960

AUG - 5 2014

**RE:** MUR 6856

(formerly RR 13L-42)
David Alameel for Congress
and Nadya Alameel, in her
official capacity as treasurer

Dear Messrs. Svoboda and Wilson:

In the normal course of carrying out its supervisory responsibilities, the Federal Election Commission ("the Commission") became aware of information suggesting that your clients, David Alameel for Congress and Nadya Alameel in her official capacity as treasurer (the "Committee"), may have violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"). On September 30, 2013, the Commission notified the Committee that it was being referred to the Commission's Office of the General Counsel for possible enforcement action under 2 U.S.C. § 437g. On July 22, 2014, the Commission found reason to believe that the Committee violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b) with respect to the Committee's 2012 12 Day Pre-Primary and 2012 July Quarterly Reports. Enclosed is the Factual and Legal Analysis that sets forth the basis for the Commission's determination.

In order to expedite the resolution of this matter, the Commission has authorized the Office of the General Counsel to enter into negotiations directed towards reaching a conciliation agreement in settlement of this matter prior to a determination by the Commission as to whether there is probable cause to believe that the Committee violated the Act. Pre-probable cause conciliation is not mandated by the Act or the Commission's regulations, but is a voluntary step in the enforcement process that the Commission is offering to the Committee as a way to resolve this matter at an early stage.

Brian G. Svoboda, Esq. Graham M. Wilson, Esq. MUR 6856 (formerly RR 13L-42) Page 2

If the Committee is interested in engaging in pre-probable cause conciliation, please contact Roy Q. Luckett, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650 or (800) 424-9530, within seven days of receipt of this letter. During conciliation, you may submit any factual or legal materials that you believe are relevant to the resolution of this matter. No action by the Commission or any person and no information derived in connection with any conciliation attempt by the Commission may be made public by the Commission without the written consent of the respondent and the Commission. 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(4)(B). The Commission may proceed to the next step in the enforcement process if the Committee is not interested in preprobable cause conciliation or a mutually acceptable conciliation agreement cannot be reached within 60 days. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a), 11 C.F.R. Part 111 (Subpart A). Please note that once the Commission enters the next step in the enforcement process, it may decline to engage in further settlement discussions until after making a probable cause finding.

In the meantime, this matter will remain confidential in accordance with 2 U.S.C. §§ 437g(a)(4)(B) and 437g(a)(12)(A) unless you notify the Commission in writing that you wish the matter to be made public.

Please note that the Committee has a legal obligation to preserve all documents, records, and materials relating to this matter until notified that the Commission has closed its file in this matter. See 18 U.S.C. § 1519.

We look forward to your response.

On behalf of the Commission.

Ann M. Ravel Vice Chair

Enclosures

Factual and Legal Analysis

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
RESPONDENTS: David Alameel for Congress and Nadya Alameel MUR 6856 in her official capacity as treasurer (formerly RR 13L-42)
I. GENERATION OF MATTER
This matter was generated based on information ascertained by the Federal Election
Commission (the "Commission") in the normal course of carrying out its supervisory
responsibilities, see 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(2). The Reports Analysis Division ("RAD") referred
David Alameel for Congress (the "Committee") to the Office of General Counsel ("OGC") for
failing to disclose receipts and disbursements on its disclosure reports. In response, the
Committee acknowledges the reporting errors but requests that the Commission decline to open
an enforcement matter. Based on the available information, the Commission has determined to
open a matter under review ("MUR") and find reason to believe that the Committee violated
2 U.S.C. § 434(b) by failing to accurately disclose receipts and disbursements.
II. FACTS
The Committee is the principal campaign committee of David Alameel, a candidate in the
2012 primary for the U.S. House of Representatives in Texas's 33rd Congressional District. <sup>1</sup>
The Committee filed its Statement of Organization on December 12, 2011, and timely filed its
2012 12 Day Pre-Primary and 2012 July Quarterly Reports. As reflected in the chart below,
between May 19 and October 25, 2012, the Committee filed amendments to each of these

Alamcel lost the 2012 primary election and seeks to terminate the Committee. See Letter from Brian G. Svoboda, Graham M. Wilson, Legal Counsel for the Committee, to Jeff S. Jordan, Supervisory Att'y, FEC at 1 (Dec. 6, 2013) ("Resp.").

3

- reports, which disclosed an aggregate of \$500,000 in additional receipts, and \$844,128.55 in
- 2 additional disbursements not included in the original reports.<sup>2</sup>

Report	Dates of Amendments	Total Amount of Increased Receipts	Total Amount of Increased Disbursements	Total Increased Activity
2012 12 Day Pre-Primary	5/19/2012 7/02/2012 7/15/2012 10/12/2012 10/25/2012	\$500,000	\$200,317.95	\$700,317.95
2012 July Quarterly	10/12/2012 10/25/2012	N/A	\$643,810.60·	\$643,810.60
	TOTAL	\$500,000	\$844,128.55	\$1,344,128.55

4 RAD sent the Committee two separate Requests for Additional Information ("RFAI")

- 5 regarding the referred reports. The first RFAI dealt only with the increased activity on the
- 6 Committee's July 15 amendment to the 2012 12 Day Pre-Primary Report, which added a
- 7 previously omitted \$500,000 loan from the candidate's personal funds. *Id.* In response, the
- 8 Committee filed a Miscellaneous Document ("FEC Form 99") acknowledging that the increase
- 9 should have been disclosed on the original report, and stating that "it was not included due to a
- misfiling on our part." Id. at 4. The Committee explains that it discovered and corrected the
- oversight after being made aware that its original report had a "negative balance." *Id.*
- RAD sent the second RFAI to the Committee regarding the substantial increase in
- 13 receipts and disbursements disclosed by the Committee on amendments filed to the 2012 12 Day
- 14 Pre-Primary and 2012 July Quarterly Reports on October 25, 2012.<sup>3</sup> Id. In response, the

The Committee also filed three additional amendments which did not affect the receipts and disbursements.

The Committee filed these amendments after discussions with RAD in October 2012 about additional accounting errors it had discovered. See Referral at 4-5. Although the Committee had filed previous amendments to each of these reports, see chart supra, those amendments had not previously triggered an RFAI.

MUR 6856 (David Alameel for Congress) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 3 of 5

1 Committee filed a FEC Form 99 explaining that amendments to the original reports were 2 necessary due to human error in processing and recording large numbers of checks that were 3 issued to individuals providing the Committee with contract labor services ranging from office 4 maintenance to canvassing. Id. Specifically, the Committee explains that after the treasurer 5 signed a physical check, its procedure was to file a copy of the check until needed for entry into 6 FEC reports. The Committee asserts that "deviations" from this procedure "led to activity 7 occurring without our immediate knowledge and therefore incurred delayed updates to our 8 records." Id. The Committee acknowledged specific omitted disbursements, including in-kind 9 contributions totaling \$5,780.59, automatic debits to the account, including six bank fees totaling 10 \$1,130, large wire transfers including an advertising payment in the amount of \$39,000, wire 11 payments to Rindy Miller Media for \$250,000 and to Latimundo for \$405,000. Id. Further, the 12 Committee asserted that some of the errors resulted when it had to restore its financial files and 13 FEC file to a new computer after encountering software issues while uploading an amendment. 14 Id. 15 RAD referred the Committee to OGC for amending its 2012 12 Day Pre-Primary and 16 2012 July Quarterly Reports to disclose a total of \$500,000 in additional receipts and a total of 17 \$844,128.55 in additional disbursements during the 2012 election cycle, which is an aggregate 18 increase in activity of \$1,344,128.55. Upon receipt of the Referral, OGC notified the Committee 19 about this matter on September 30, 2013. Letter from Jeff S. Jordan, Supervisory Att'y, CELA, 20 FEC to Nadya Alameel, Treasurer of the Committee (Sep. 30, 2013); see also Agency Procedure 21 for Notice to Respondents in Non-Complaint Generated Matters, 74 Fed. Reg. 38,617 (Aug. 4, 22 2009).

MUR 6856 (David Alamcel for Congress) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 4 of 5

1 In response, the Committee states that it regrets that its reports required correction, but 2. maintains that the Commission should not proceed with further enforcement action. Resp. at 2. 3 The Committee states that the first-time candidate "heavily self-funded his campaign, so the 4 significant changes to the receipts disclosed on the Committee's reports consist mainly of his 5 own contributions." Id. The Committee also notes that the "bulk of the disbursements added to 6 the Committee's reports were for two large media purchases. The rest included standard 7 campaign expenses, such as payments for contract labor and bank fees." Id. Further, the 8 Committee asserts that it repeatedly conferred with Commission staff to make the necessary 9 amendments to the reports, and cites to the Referral, which details those conversations. Id. It 10 reiterates the explanations made in the FEC Form 99, which noted that the amendments stemmed 11 from the hiring of a large number of campaign contractors, resulting in a large number of checks 12 to be cut and tabulated. Id. It also maintains that the extremely large number of transactions 13 over a short period of time, coupled with human error, further contributed to omissions from the 14 Committee's original reports. Id. The Committee states that it awaits termination, and to the extent that any further action is necessary in this matter, it requests that the Commission resolve 15 16 the matter through Alternative Dispute Resolution. Id. 17 III. LEGAL ANALYSIS 18 The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), requires committee

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), requires committee treasurers to file reports of receipts and disbursements in accordance with the provisions of 2 U.S.C. § 434. 2 U.S.C. § 434(a)(1); 11 C.F.R. § 104.1(a). These reports must include, *inter alia*, the total amount of receipts and disbursements, including the appropriate itemizations, where required. 2 U.S.C. § 434(b); 11 C.F.R. § 104.3.

MUR 6856 (David Alameel for Congress) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 5 of 5

- 1 Here, the Committee did not comply with the Act's reporting requirements when it failed
- 2 to disclose \$500,000 in receipts and \$844,128.55 in disbursements on its original 2012 July
- 3 Quarterly and 2012 12 Day Pre-Primary Reports, which is an aggregate increase of
- 4 \$1,344,128.55. Resp. at 1-2. Therefore, the Commission has determined to find reason to
- 5 believe that David Alameel for Congress and Nadya Alameel in her official capacity as treasurer
- 6 violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b).